PORCUPINE LAKE WETLAND COMPLEX EVALUATION, 1992

BY: BARB RIORDAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	i
Figure 1: Porcupine Lake Wetland Complex Boundaries (see envelope at the back of the report)	
Table 1: Total Dissolved Solids and pH Readings for the Porcupine Lake Wetland Complex	v
Figure 2: Locations of Conductivity and pH Readings in the Porcupine Lake Wetland (see envelope at the back of the report)	
Table 2: Soils of the Porcupine Lake Wetland Complex	vi
Figure 3: Porcupine Lake Wetland Catchment Basin (see envelope at the back of the report)	
Table 3: Soils of the Porcupine Lake Wetland Catchment Basin	vii
Appendix I: Wetland Data Record	1
1.0 Biological Component 1.1 Productivity 1.2 Biodiversity 1.3 Ecosystem Age 1.4 Size	4 5 8 8
2.0 Social Component 2.1 Economically Valuable Products 2.2 Recreational Activities 2.3 Landscape Aesthetics 2.4 Education and Public Awareness 2.5 Proximity to Areas of Human Settlement 2.6 Ownership 2.7 Size 2.8 Aboriginal and Cultural Values	9 10 11 11 12 13 13
3.0 Hydrological Component 3.1 Flood Attenuation 3.2 Ground Water Recharge 3.3 Downstream Water Quality Improvement 3.4 Carbon Sink 3.5 Shoreline Erosion Control 3.6 Ground Water Discharge - Ecological Function of Hydrology	14 16 16 18 18
4.0 Special Features Component 4.1 Rarity	20
Wildlife Habitat	27

•	
	31
Species List, Abundance and Location	33
Appendix II: Scoring Summary	
1.0 Biological Component	1
2.0 Social Component	2
3.0 Hydrological Component	2 3 4
4.0 Special Features Component	4
Scoring Summary	5
Appendix III	
List of Field Guides and Manuals Used in Wetland	
Evaluation	1
Porcupine Lake Wetland Complex	2
Lake Wetland Complex	5

()

Porcupine Lake Wetland Complex Evaluation

Introduction

The Porcupine Lake Wetland Complex was evaluated in August, 1992, under the auspices of the Mattagami Region Conservation Authority. Porcupine Lake is located within the boundaries of Porcupine and South Porcupine in Whitney and Tisdale townships in the District of Cochrane. There is a public access point on the north shore of Porcupine Lake. The Porcupine and South Porcupine Rivers can be accessed from the lake, from Highway 101 and from the Dome Extension road.

The Porcupine Lake Wetland is referred to as a complex because local disturbances such as roads, railway tracks and urban areas have divided the wetland into sections. These sections are hydrologically connected and ecologically similar and, therefore, can be combined into one unit. The complex is 325.8 ha. in size. Marshes and swamps occupy 60% and 40% of the wetland, respectively. The wetland boundaries are illustrated in Figure 1.

There are four principal components in the Northern Ontario Wetland Evaluation System. These include the Biological, Hydrological and Special Features Components. The Biological Component measures attributes of productivity, biodiversity and The importance to people for ecosystem age. recreational, educational and cultural reasons is measured in the The Hydrological Component involves flow Social Component. stabilization, water quality improvement and the link between hydrological characteristics and ecosystem function. The Special Features Component includes attributes of species rarity and wildlife habitat.

The Porcupine Lake Wetland Complex scored 165 out of a possible 250 points in the Biological Component. Productivity in the wetland is good (24/35 points). The wetland is composed of marshes and swamps which are defined as productive wetland types because they contain soils high in mineral content. Approximately 59% of the area consists of highly productive clay soils, 23% is moderately productive sand, and 18% is unproductive organic soils (Table 2). The wetland is occupied by lacustrine and riverine type sites which are productive by definition because they receive water which is high in oxygen saturation and mineral content. The high Total Dissolved Solids and pH readings found throughout the wetland (Figure 2 and Table 1) confirm that the water is high in nutrient content, however, some of the dissolved solids probably originate from mine tailings and a sewage treatment plant located adjacent to the wetland. The limiting factor for productivity in the Porcupine Lake Wetland is its location within a geographical region that receives only 2200-2400 Growing Degree-Days in a season.

The wetland has a good level of biodiversity (100/149 points) for

a number of reasons. There are 11 different marsh communities and 12 different swamp communities. This diversity in vegetation communities offers a variety of habitats for wildlife species. The habitat surrounding the wetland is also diverse which can mean that a variety of upland species has access to the wetland. more wetland species which require certain types of upland habitats to complete their life cycles can occupy the complex. Porcupine Lake Wetland is hydrologically connected to nearby wetlands which is attractive to wildlife because they can move from one area to another in relative safety. The total length of edge within the wetland is high. Many wildlife species depend upon more than one habitat type and prefer to live in edge areas. wetland offers an abundance of open water which occurs in such a manner that small embayments and meandering shorelines are common. This configuration of open water is important to wildlife species because it offers areas for nesting, feeding and loafing.

The Porcupine Lake Wetland Complex scored 158 out of a possible 250 points in the Social Component. The wetland supports several economically valuable products including timber, baitfish and furbearers such as beaver, fox, lynx, otter and marten. The wetland is somewhat important for fishing, hunting and Ice fishing occurs in Porcupine Lake, nature appreciation. however, the lake is closed to walleye for the period of 1989 to 1995 so fishermen are limited to northern pike and yellow perch. Waterfowl are hunted in the marshes of the Porcupine and South Porcupine Rivers. Hiking trails around Porcupine Lake provide opportunities for nature appreciation and school groups use the area for educational purposes.

Since the wetland is in close proximity to urban areas, it can be easily identified and visited by many people. Approximately 73% of the complex is in private ownership (mostly mining companies and residents) which may restrict access to some areas. Disturbances such as roads, hydrolines, mine tailings and urban development have lowered the aesthetic values of the wetland. The wetland has no significant aboriginal or cultural values.

The Porcupine Lake Wetland Complex received 184 out of a possible 250 points in the Hydrological Component. The catchment basin is illustrated in Figure 3. The wetland is not important for attenuating flood waters (35/100 points). For a wetland to receive a maximum score in flood attenuation, it must represent at least 50% of the detention areas in the upstream watershed, 10% of the total catchment basin area, and be composed of surface forms which resist surface flow. The Porcupine Lake Wetland Complex represents only 20% of the detention area in the upstream watershed and only 5% of the total catchment basin. This means it offers a small storage area for flood waters. The surface forms of the wetland consists mainly of flooded areas and major flow tracks which offer little resistance to surface flow.

The wetland is not an important ground water recharge area. It is dominated by clay soils (Table 3) which are impermeable in nature and inhibit ground water recharge. As well, lacustrine and riverine type sites are not conducive to recharging ground water.

The ability of a wetland to provide downstream water quality improvement depends primarily on its location in the watershed. To be important the wetland must be located between upstream and downstream environments. This is characteristic of riverine sites and since 80% of the wetland is riverine it provides downstream water quality improvement. The wetland is dominated by trees, shrubs and herbaceous plants which offer short term removal of nutrients and other compounds, thereby improving water quality. There are several disturbances upstream and adjacent to the wetland such as point source land uses which have the potential of producing effluents that may be toxic for various aquatic and wildlife species (mines, a tailing treatment pond and a tailing spill, see Figure 3). Other disturbances include linear upslope uses which add chemicals and sediments to the water (major hydro corridor, roads, railways). This increases the value of the wetland for downstream water quality improvement.

The wetland is important for erosion control as it is dominated by trees and shrubs which provide protection from erosive forces through their strong root systems.

A wetland which has strong ground water discharge from its catchment basin and high hydrological activity at the wetland's margin will experience strong interaction with ground water. The stronger the wetland interacts with ground water the richer will be the ecological diversity. The soil characteristics of the Porcupine Lake Wetland catchment basin and the hydrological features of the wetland indicate that the interaction between ground water and the wetland is only moderate.

The Porcupine Lake Wetland Complex scored 250/250 points in the Special Features Component. Marshes and swamps have a rarity value in Site Region 3E. The wetland, therefore, received points for being composed of these wetland types.

The wetland is regionally significant as a waterfowl staging area. American coot and greater scaup, which are provincially significant species, use the wetland as a migratory stopover area. The Canada goose and double-crested cormorant are regionally significant species which also use the area as a migratory stopover. Canada geese and 5 double-crested cormorants were seen on Porcupine Lake in September 1992. The sora, another regionally significant species, may feed in the wetland's marshes. A sora was seen in the South Porcupine River in August, 1992.

The complex provides suitable habitat for waterfowl breeding and moulting. Broods of mallards and blue-winged teals were numerous.

Suitable breeding habitat for black duck, a species of special status, is also available.

The Porcupine River downstream of Porcupine Lake appears to be a colonial waterbird feeding area. Three double-crested cormorants, two adults and one immature, were seen on numerous occasions during this evaluation. Several sightings of great blue herons perched in dead trees were a daily occurrence.

Northern harriers (marsh hawks) and belted kingfishers were frequently seen perched in dead trees. Other bird species present in the wetland during this evaluation include 2 American bitterns, 2 common mergansers and one ruddy duck.

Winter cover for wildlife is available in the swamps which consist of a mixture of conifers and deciduous trees and shrubs. The wetland offers good habitat for walleye, northern pike, yellow perch, white suckers and forage fish.

		cupine Lake Wetland Comp	
Locat	ion	T.D.S. (ppm)	рн
1		527	8.05
2	<u> </u>	580	8.18
3		590	8.00
4		594	7.77
5		542	8.08
6	<u>-</u>	644	7.48
. 7	 	1390	7.90
8	•	738	7.81

 $(\)^{J}$

			Mineral					()rgani	С
		Cla	ıy/Loaı	m	S	and		Mesi Humi		Fibric
COMPLEX	Fractional Area		0.59			0.23			0.05	0.13
S OF THE PORCUPINE LAKE WETLAND COMPLEX	Soil Material	calcareous lacustrine clay to silty clay	calcareous lacustrine clay to silty clay	calcareous lacustrine clay to silty clay	very fine sand outwash	very fine sand	acidic medium sand	fibric over mesic organic material	mesic organic material (40-160cm) over mineral soil	fibric organic material >160cm thick
SOILS	Soil Name	Shetland	Hearst	Ryland	Keenoa	Newfeld	Pyne	Sasaginaga	Sunstrum	Gaffney Lake
TABLE 2	Soil Symbol	Sh	Не	Ry	Ka	N	Py	Sas	us	Gf

Source of information: Map of Soils of Ontario

Table 3 Soils of the Porcupine Lake Wetland Catchment Basin				
Soil Symbol	Soil Name	Soil Material		
Sh	Shetland	calcareous lacustrine clay to silty clay	Da	
Не	Hearst	calcareous lacustrine clay to silty clay	Dominant	
Ry	Ryland	calcareous lacustrine clay to silty clay	†	
Ka	Keenoa	very fine sand outwash		
N	Newfeld	very fine sand		
Ру	Pyne	acidic medium sand	၂ ပ	
. Jn	Jeannie	acidic medium to coarse sandy gravel	Subdominant	
Hn	Hanna	acidic medium to coarse sandy till	inant	
Fr	Frederick	acidic medium sand outwash		
R	Rock	<u> </u>		

Source of Information: Map of Soils of Ontario

APPENDIX I: WETLAND DATA RECORD

WETLAND DATA RECORD

i)	WETLAND NAME AND OR NUMBER: Porcupine Lake Wetland
li)	MNR ADMINISTRATIVE REGION: Northeastern DISTRICT: Timmins MANAGEMENT AREA: Porcupine
Hi)	CONSERVATION AUTHORITY JURISDICTION: Mattagami Region (If not within a designated CA, check here:
iv)	COUNTY OR REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY: Cochrane District
v)	TOWNSHIP; Whitney, Tisdale
vi)	LOTS & CONCESSIONS: Whitney - Con. 4, Lots 8, 9, 10; Con. 3, Lots 9, 10, 11, 12; (attach separate sheet if necessary) Con. 2, Lots 11, 12; Con. 5, Lot 8; Tisdale - Con. Lots 2, 3; Con. 2, Lots 1, 2, 5; Con. 3, Lots 2, 3, 4, 5 (If lots and concessions unsurveyed, check here)
vii)	MAP AND AIR PHOTO REFERENCES
	a) Latitude 48° 29' Longitude: 81° 11'
	b) U.T.M. grid ref.: Zone: 17 Block: MD Grid: E 806 N 700
	c) National Topographic Series:
	map nameTimmins
	map number(s) 42A SW edition 3rd ed.
	Scale 1: 100 000
	d) Air photos: Date photo taken: 07/07/91 Scale: 1: 20 000
	Flight & plate numbers: 4816: 168,169,170 4817: 121,122,123,124,200,204 4818: 239
	(attach separate sheet if necessary)
	e) Ontario Base Map numbers & scale 1 : 20 000
	<u>20 17 4800 53700 ; 20 17 4800 5</u> 3600
	attach congrate cheets if necessary

Northern Ontario Wetland Evaluation System August 1992 Draft

viii)WETLAND SIZE AND BOUNDARIES

- a) Single contiguous wetland area: hectares
- b) Wetland complex comprised of 5 individual wetlands:

Wetland Unit Number (for reference)	Size of wetland					
Wetland Unit No. 1	<u>171.7</u> ha	(marsh:	99.5 ha	; swamp	72.2	ha)
Wetland Unit No. 2	25.8 ha	(marsh:	7.5 ha;	swamp:	18.3 H	na)
Wetland Unit No. 3	<u>89.4</u> ha	(marsh:	7.8 ha:	swamp:	81.6 H	na)
Wetland Unit No. 4	<u>17.0</u> ha	(marsh:	8.1 ha;	swamp:	8.9 ha	a)
Wetland Unit No. 5	21.9 ha	(marsh:	7.9 ha;	swamp:	14.0 H	na)
Wetland Unit No. 6	ha					
Wetland Unit No. 7	ha					
Wetland Unit No. 8	ha					
Wetland Unit No. 9	ha					
Wetland Unit No. 10	ha					
TOTAL WETLAND	SIZE	325.8	ha			

Attach rationale for including wetland units.

attach separate sheets if necessary

3

1.0 BIOLOGICAL COMPONENT

1.1 PRODUCTIVITY

1.1.1 GROWING DEGREE-DAYS/SOILS

GROWING D	EGREE DAYS	<u>SO</u>	<u>ILS</u>
(check one)	-1600	Estimated	
	<1600 1600-2000	59	clay/loam silt/marl
x	2000-2400		limestone
	2400-2800	23	sand
	2800-3000	5	humic/mesic
	>3000	13	fibric
			granite fibric

SCORING:

_	Clay/ Loam	Silt/ Marl	Limestone	Sand	Humic/ Mesic	Fibric	Granite
<1600	7	6	5	4	4	2	2
1600-2000	9 .	7	6	4	4	3	2
2000-2400	10 5.9	9	8	6 1.38	5 0.25	3 0.39	3
2400-2800	12	11	9	7	6	4	3
2800-3000	14	13	10	8	7	4	4
>3000	16	15	12	9	8	5	4

Steps required for evaluation: (maximum score 16 points)

- 1. Select GDD line in evaluation table applicable to your wetland;
- 2. Determine % of area of the wetland for each soil type;
- 3. Multiply fractional area of each soil type by score;
- 3. Sum individual soil type scores (round to nearest whole number).

In wetland complexes the evaluator should aim at determining the percentage of area occupied by the categories for the complex as a whole.

FINAL SCORE	(GROWING	DEGEREE-DAYS/SOILS	8	

1.1.2 WETLAND TYPE

	Fractional Area	Scoring
bog fen swamp	0.599	x 5 = x 8 = x 11 = 6.6
marsh	0.401	x 14 = 5.6

WETLAND TYPE SCORE (Maximum 14 points) 12.2

1.1.4 SITE TYPE

Fraction	nal Area	Score	
isolated palustrine (permanent or		x 1 =	
intermittent flow) riverine	0.805	x 2 = x 4 =	3.2
riverine (at rivermouth) lacustrine (at rivermouth	0.035	x 5 = x 5 =	0.2
lacustrine (on enclosed bay, with barrier beach) lacustrine (exposed to lake)	0.160	x 3 = x 2 =	0.3

SITE TYPE SCORE (Maximum 5) 3.7

1.2 BIODIVERSITY

1.2.1 NUMBER OF WETLAND CLASSES

(Check one)	Sco	ore (Choose one only)		
	_ one	9 points		
X	two	13		
	three	20		
	four	30		

NUMBER OF WETLAND TYPES SCORE (Maximum 30) 13

1.2.2 <u>VEGETATION COMMUNITIES</u>

Attach a separate sheet listing community (map) codes, vegetation forms and dominant species. Communities should be grouped by number of forms. For example, 2 form communities might appear as follows:

2 forms

Code Forms Dominant Species

M6 re ff Typha latifolia; Lemna minor, Wolffia

S1 ts gc Alnus rugosa, Salix discolor; Impatiens capensis, Thelypteris palustris

Note that the dominant species for each form are separated by a semicolon. The dominant species within a form are separated by commas.

Scoring:

# of communities with 1-3 forms	# of communities with 4-5 forms	# of communities with 6 or more forms
1 = 1.5 points 2 = 2.5 3 = 3.5 4 = 4.5 5 = 5 6 = 5.5 7 = 6 8 = 6.5 9 = 7 10 = 7.5 11 = 8	1 = 2 points 2 = 3.5 3 = 5 4 = 6.5 5 = 7.5 6 = 8.5 7 = 9.5 X 8 = 10.5 9 = 11.5 10 = 12.5 11 = 13	1 = 3 points x 2 = 5 3 = 7 4 = 9 5 = 10.5 6 = 12 7 = 13.5 8 = 15 9 = 16.5 10 = 18 11 = 19
+.5 each additional community = 9	+.5 each additional community = 10.5	+1 each additional community = 5

(e.g.) a wetland with 3 one form communities, 4 two form communities, 12 four form communities and 8 six form communities would score:

$$6 + 13.5 + 15 = 34.5 = 35$$
 points.

VEGETATION COMMUNITIES SCORE (maximum 45) 24.5

1.2.2. VEGETATION COMMUNITIES

M6	Six S4	<u>Five</u> W1	Four S2 S1 S5 M8 S9 S3	Three M2 1 M4 1 S6 1 S7 1 S10 1	Two M5 W7 M9 M10 S8 W11 S11 S12
re,	m,	For	re, tre, tre, ne, tre, ne, tre, ne, tre, ne, tre, ne, tre, ne, re, re, re, re, re, re, re, re, re, r	TS TO THE	Forms re, su, re, ne, ne, f, ts, re,
re, be,	more ts,	ms ne,	ts, ts, ts,	orms , su, , gc, , h,	ts of the state of
ne,) FO	re,	od od od od od o	ne gc ats	
ff,	Forms ls, gc,	ff,	ls gc dh f dts		
gc,	c, ne	đh			catta big a catta reed sedge bull! spec!
su cattails;		coontail; reed canarygrass; cattails; duckweed; dead deciduous	sedges; speckled alder; tall meadow rue; wild raspberry speckled alder; balsam poplar; sedges; tall meadow rue reed canarygrass; speckled alder; tall meadow rue; dead deciduous cattails; burreed; coontail; bullhead lily sedges; speckled alder; tall meadow rue; dead tall shrubs speckled alder; black spruce; tall meadow rue; grasses sedges; cattails; mild water pepper; dead conifer	<pre>cattails; coontail; duckweed reed canarygrass; coontail; duckweed speckled alder; jewelweed; reed canarygrass speckled alder; balsam poplar; tall meadow rue sedges; speckled alder; dead tall shrubs</pre>	cattails; duckweed big sheath pondweed; bulrush cattails; muskgrass reed canarygrass; cattails sedges; dead tall shrubs bullhead lily; water milfoil speckled alder; sedges cattails; speckled alder

1.2.3 DIVERSITY OF SURROUNDING HABITAT

(Check all appropria	ite items)	
	recent burns (< 5yr)	
X		
X	recent cutover or clearcut	
	crops	
X	_ pasture	
	_ ravine	
X		
Х	_ abandoned agricultural land	
	_ deciduous forest	
X	_ coniferous forest (including ta	marack
	_ abandoned pits & quarries terrain undulating	
	fence rows	
	_ rence lows _ creek flood plain	
	rock outcrop	
X	other significant habitat type	(describe on attached sheet)
	_ other significant nuostat type	(accessor on acceptance shoot)
DIVERSITY OF S	SURROUNDING HABITAT SCORE (1	each, maximum 6)6
1.2.4 PROXIMITY	TO OTHER WETLANDS	
(Check first	appropriate category only)	Scoring
1)	hydrologically connected by surface w wetlands (different dominant class), o or deep river within 1.5 km	
2)X	hydrologically connected by surfac wetlands (same dominant class) within	
2)	hudestonically composted by surface n	valor to other
3)	hydrologically connected by surface wetlands (different dominant class), or deep river from 1.5 to 4 km away	
4)	hydrologically connected by surface v	
	wetlands (same dominant class) from	U.5 to 1.5 km away 5
5)	within 0.75 km of other wetlands dominant class) or open water bo hydrologically connected by surface	dy, but not
6)	within 1 km of other wetland	s, but not
6)	hydrologically connected b water	
7)	no wetland within 1 km	0
		DE (morimum e) 8
PRO	DXIMITY TO OTHER WETLANDS SCO	ORE (maximum 8)

1.2.5 INTERSPERSION

Number of Intersections	Score
26 or less	_ 3 points
27 to 40	_ 6
41 to 60	9
61 to 80	12
81 to 100	15
100 to 125 x	18
126 to 150	
151 to 175	24
176 to 200	27
>200	

INTERSPERSION SCORE (One only, maximum 30) = 18

1.2.6 OPEN WATER TYPES

Permanently	ilooded:	
(Check one)	Score	
	no open water	0 points
	type 1	8
	type 2	8
	type 3	14
	type 4	20
x	type 5	30
	type 6	8
	type 7	14
	type 8	3
	7784 2	-

OPEN WATER SCORE (one only; max. 30 pts) = 30

1.3 ECOSYSTEM AGE

Age related attributes of wetland classes	Fractional A	<u>ea</u>	Scoring
bog fen, treed to open on deeper soils,	·	x 25 =	
floating mats or marl		x 20 =	
fen, on limestone rock		x = 5	
swamp	0.599	x = 3	1.8
marsh	0.401	x = 0	0

ECOLOGICAL AGE SCORE (Maximum 25) 1.8

1.4 SIZE (See size table -- Biological Component)

325.8						
SIZE	SCORE	(BIOLOGICAL	COMPONENT)	(Maximum	40-)_	40

2.0 SOCIAL COMPONENT

2.1 ECONOMICALLY VALUABLE PRODUCTS

2.1.1 <u>Y</u>	WOOD PRODUCTS			
Area o	of wetland forested (ha); not wetlan	d size		
		Score		
	1) = <5 ha 2) = 5 - 25 3) = 26 - 5 4) X = 51 - 10 5) = 101 - 2 6) = >200 h	0 point ha 4 0 ha 6 0 ha 8 00 ha 10 12	ts	
	of information: Field check			
	WOOD	PRODUCTS	SCORE (Maximum 12)=_	8
2.1.2	LOWBUSH CRANBERRY (Check one) Score (Choose present 1) absent 2)x Source of information: Field c	2 points 0		
			SCORE (Maximum 2)	0.
	Lowboom	MANDERRI	OCORD (Maximum 2)	· <u>·</u>
2.1.3	WILD RICE		-	
	(Check one) Score (Choose	e one)		
	present (at least 0.5 ha) 1) absent 2)	x	10 points 0	
	Source of information: Field	check	_	

WILD RICE SCORE (Maximum 10) 0

2.1.4 COMMERCIAL FISH (BAIT FISH AND/OR COARSE FISH)

Score (Choose one)

present absent	1)X 2)	12 points 0		
Source of information:_	Field check			
COMME	RCIAL BAITFISH SCORE (1	Maximum 12) =	12	,

2.1.6 FURBEARERS

(Consult Appendix 9)

	Name of Surbearer	Source of information
1)	beaver	Bert Massie, Conservation Officer
2)	fox	<u>Ministry of Natural Resources</u>
3)	lynx	896 Riverside Drive
4)	<u>otter</u>	Timmins, ON
5)	<u>marten</u>	(705) 267-7951

Scoring: 3 points for each species, maximum 12

FURBEARER SCORE (Maximum 12) 12

2.2 RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES

Type of Wetland-associated Use

Intensity of Use	Hunting	Nature Appreciation or Ecosystem Study	Fishing
High	30 points	30	30
Moderate	15 _X	15 _X	15 _X
Low	5	5	5
None	0	0	0

(score one level for each of the three wetland uses; scores are cumulative; maximum score 80 points)

Sources of information:	hunting:	Bert Massie - Conservation Officer, MNR
	nature:	Bert Massie
	fishing:	Milan Vukelich - District Biologist, MNR

RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES SCORE (Maximum 75) = 45

2.3 LANDSCAPE AESTHETICS

2.3.1 <u>DISTINCTNESS</u>	
(Check one) Score (Choose one)	
clearly distinct 1) X indistinct 2) 0	
LANDSCAPE DISTINCTNESS SCORE (Maximum 3)	3
2.3.2 ABSENCE OF HUMAN DISTURBANCE	
(check one) (1) Human disturbances absent or nearly so (2) One or several localized disturbances (3) Moderate disturbance; localized water pollution (4) Wetland intact but impairment of ecosystem quality intense in some areas (5) Extreme ecological degradation, or water pollution severe and widespread (5)	Score 7 points 4 2 1
Source of information: Field check	
ABSENCE OF HUMAN DISTURBANCE SCORE (Maximum 7) 2.4 EDUCATION AND PUBLIC AWARENESS 2.4.1 EDUCATIONAL USES frequent 1) X 20 points (3-4 visits per year) infrequent 2) 12 not known 3) 0	<u>-</u>
Source of information: Roland Michener Secondary School-Head of Geography	Departmen
EDUCATIONAL USES SCORE (Maximum 20)	20
2.4.2 FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS	-
(check one) <u>Score</u> (Choose	e one)
Staffed interpretation centre 1) 8 points No interpretation centre or staff, but a system of self-guiding trails or brochures available 2) 4 no facilities or programs 3) x 0	
Source of information: Mattagami Region Conservation Authority	

FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS SCORE (Maximum 8) = 0

2.4.3 RESEARCH AND STUDIES

(check appropriate spaces)	Score all appropriate categories
(1) Long term research has been done	1) 12 points
(2) Research papers published in referee	d scientific
journal or as a thesis	2) 10
(3) One or more (non-research) reports hon some aspect of the wetland's	
hydrology, etc.	3) x 5
(4) No research or reports	4) 0
Attach list of known reports by above categorie	
(scores are cumulative; maximum score 12 poin RESEARCH AND ST	ts) UDIES SCORE (Maximum 12) 5

2.5 PROXIMITY TO AREAS OF HUMAN SETTLEMENT

Circle the highest scoring category applicable

Distance of wetland from settlement	population >10,000	population 2,500 - 10,000	population <2,500 or cottage community
within or adjoining settlement	40 points	26 _X	16
0.5 - 10 km from settlement	26	16	10
10 - 60 km from settlement	12	8	4
> 60 km from settlement	5	2	0

settlement			
Name of settlement:	Porcupine/South Porc	upine	
PROXIN	MITY TO HUMAN SETT	LEMENT SCORE (Maxi	mum 40) 26

2.6	OWNERSHI	P
4.0	Uniteroni	

Fractional Area = FA = area/total wetland	l area	Score	
FA of wetland in public or private owners held under contract or in trust for wetland	ship, d protection		x 10
FA of wetland in public ownership, not a	s above	0.27	x82.2
FA of wetland in private ownership, not	as above	0.73	x 4 <u>2.9</u>
Source of information: City Hall	- Landowner	_Status	
OWNI	ERSHIP SCOR	E (Maximum	10) = 5.1
2.7 SIZE (See size table Social Compo	onent)		
325.8 hectares			
SIZE SCORE (SOC	IAL COMPON	ENT) (Maxim	um 20)20
2.8 ABORIGINAL AND CULTURAL	VALUES		
Either or both Aboriginal or Cult score permitted for 2.8 is 30 points.	ural Values m	ay be scored,	however, the maximum
2.7.1 Aboriginal Values Important Not important Unknown	Score 30 points 0		
(maximum score 30 points)			
2.7.2 Cultural Heritage			
Significant Not significant Unknown	Score 30 points 0 0		
(maximum score 30 points)			
NOTE: the maximum combined score for SCORE FOR ABORIO	or 2.8.1 and 2.8 GINAL AND (.2 is 30 points. CULTURAL	VALUES 0

3.0 HYDROLOGICAL COMPONENT

3.1 FLOOD ATTENUATION (100 Points)

STEP 1. DETERMINATION OF THE UPSTREAM DETENTION FACTOR (DF)

If wetland is Isolated, go directly to Step 4.

Wetland area (ha)

a)

If wetland is lacustrine and the ratio of wetland area: lake area is >0.1, OR wetland is riverine on the St. Mary's River, go to Step 4.

All other wetlands:

a) Wetland area (ha)

b) Total detention areas (ha) in wetland's watershed including area of the wetland

c) Ratio of a:b

1173.2

d) Upstream detention factor: (c) x 2

(Note: maximum allowable value = 1, minimum value = 0)

STEP 2. DETERMINATION OF PEAK FLOW ATTENUATION FACTOR (AF)

240.2

a)	Wetland area (na)	240.6
b)	Size of wetland catchment basin (ha) (including wetland area)	5065.9
c)	Ratio of a:b	0.05
d)	Wetland attenuation factor (c) x 10 Note: Maximum allowable value = 1, mini	0.5
	110to, Maximum and Made Talue - 1, min.	

STEP 3. DETERMINATION OF WETLAND SURFACE FORM FACTOR (FF)

From the list below, select surface form which best describes the wetland. In wetlands with more than one surface form, determine the final score by multiplying the fractional area of each surface form by the score. Sum the partial scores to obtain the total Surface Form Score.

Surface Form	<u>Fractional</u> <u>Area</u>	Factor Score
Flooded with little or no aquatic vegetation Flooded but with sumbmergent or emenrgent vegetation Major flow track present Flat (lawn) vegetation Hummock-depression microtoporaphy Patterned flow track Patterned, no flow track	0.20	x 0 0 x 0.2
SURFACE FORM FACTOR SCORE		0.16

STEP 4. CALCULATION OF FINAL SCORE

STEP 4. CALCULATION OF FINAL SCORE	
Wetland is entirely Isolated	100 points
Wetland is lacustrine and wetland area:lake area is >0.1, OR wetland is along the St. Mary's River	0 points
For all other wetlands, calculate as follows:	
1) Maximum score	100 points
2) Upstream Detention Factor (DF)	0.4
3) Wetland Attenuation Factor (AF)	0.5
4) Surface Form Factor (FF)	0.16
loo [(DF + AF + FF)/3] x District Score	<u>(1.06/3) x</u> 100
TOTAL FLOOD ATTENUATION SCORE:	35.3

3.2 GROUND WATER RECHARGE (30 Points)

3.2.1 SITE TYPE

Determine the fractional area (FA) of each wetland site type relative to the entire area of the wetland (i.e. FA = area of wetland site type/total wetland area)

Wetland Site Type

<u>Score</u>

a) Isolated or Palustrine

FA x 20 =FA 0.805 x 5 = 4.03

b) Riverinec) Lacustrine

SITE TYPE SCORE (STC): a + b + c ____4.03

3.2.2 SOILS

EVALUATION (MAXIMUM 10 POINTS) (Circle appropriate category)

Dominant site type	hydrologic soils class A, B or C (ie non-clay soils)	hydrologic soils class D (clays)
Isolated	10 points	5
Palustrine	7	4
Riverine	5	5 x
Lacustrine	0	0

HYDROLOGICAL SOIL CLASS SCORE (HSC) (max. 10 points) = _____5

TOTAL GROUNDWATER RECHARGE FUNCTION (STC + HSC; max. 30 pts) = 9.03

3.3 DOWNSTREAM WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT (MAXIMUM 100 POINTS)

3.3.1 WATERSHED IMPROVEMENT FACTOR

EVALUATION: (30 points x Improvement Factor)

Calculation of WIF is based upon the fractional area (FA) of each site type within the wetland. FA = area of wetland site type/total area of the wetland

Site Type	Improvement Factor (IF)
Isolated	FA x 0.5 =
Riverine	$FA = 0.805 \times 1.0 = 0.805$
Palustrine with no inflow	FA x 0.7 =
Palustrine with inflows	FA x 1.0 =
Lacustrine on lake shoreline	$FA = 0.160 \times 0.2 = 0.032$
Lacustrine at lake inflow or outflow	$FA = 0.035 \times 1.0 = 0.035$

SCORE (30 points x Improvement Factor) __26.2

__34_____ points

3.3.2 ADJACENT AND WATERSHED LAND USE

EVALUATION:	(50 points	total)
--------------------	------------	--------

SCORE + PS SCORE)

Select the appropriate categories from each section below. If more than one category is selected, sum the score. The maximum number of points available is 50.

1. Broad upslope land-use (BLU), such as logging, agriculture, or other activities which alter the natural vegetation cover in an extensive manner.

	Choose one		Factor
	> 50% of upslope area 20-50% of upslope area 1-20% of upslope area	x	1.0 0.7 0.2
	SCORE FOR BLU		20 points x BLU Factor4
2.	Linear upslope uses (LUU) e.g. re upslope catchment.	oads, railways,	hydro corridors, pipelines, etc., crossing the
	Choose the highest only		Factor
	Major corridor Secondary corridor Tertiary corridor Temporary or abandoned None	X	1.0 0.7 0.4 0.2
	SCORE FOR LUU		15 x LUU Factor = 15 points
3.	Point source (PS) land-uses pro paper plants, mines, etc.	ducing toxic	effluents, such as heavy industry, pulp and
	Present Not present	<u> </u>	1.0 0

3.3.3 VEGETATION FORM

EVALUATION: (10 points x Vegetation Form Factor (VFF)

Dominant Vegetation Form

Choose one	Factor
Trees, shrubs or herbs Emergents, submergents Little or no vegetation	0.75 1.0 0
SCORE: Dominant Vegetation Form	10 x VFF = points

3.4 CARBON SINK

EVALUATION: (MAXIMUM 5 POINTS)

Evaluate based upon the dominant wetland type

Carbon Sink Factor (CSF)

- 1. Wetland a bog or fen with organic soils occupying 50% or more of the area
- 1.0
- 2. Wetland has organic soils occupying 10 to 50% of the area (i.e. mainly mineral or undesignated soils)
- 0.4 X
- 3. Marshes & swamps with >50% organic soil
- 0.6
- 4. Wetland with less than 10% or soils organic
- U

CARBON SINK SCORE: $5 \times CSF = 2.0$ points

3.5 SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL

EVALUATION: (MAXIMUM 15 POINTS)

From the wetland vegetation map determine the <u>dominant</u> vegetation type within the erosion zone for <u>lacustrine</u> and <u>riverine</u> site type areas only. Score according to the factors listed below.

Shoreline Vegetation	Erosion Control Factor (ECF)
Trees or Shrubs	1.0 x
Emergents	0.5
Submergents	0.4
Other vegetation	0.2
No vegetation	0
No shoreline	0
SCORE: 15 x ECF	15

3.6 GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE-ECOLOGICAL FUNTION OF HYDROLOGY

EVALUATION: (MAXIMUM 100 POINTS)

Circle the highest scoring characteristic applicable for each of the categories below.

INTERACTION

CATCHMENT

Wetland Class	bog 0 points	swamp/marsh X 5 points	fen 10 points
Basin Topography	flat to rolling	hilly 5	major relief break 10 x
Fractional Area	large >50% 0	moderate 6-50% 5	small <5% 10 x
Circularity Index	circular >0.8 0	irregular 0.5-0.8 5	very irregular <0.5 10 ×
Catchment Soils Coverage	patchy 0	thin X 5	thick 10
Catchment Soils Permeability	low x	moderate 5	high 10
Lagg Development	none found X	minor 5	common 10
Seeps at edge of wetland	none found X	1-3 seeps 5	4 or more seeps
Iron precipitates evident at edge	no X 0	some 2	yes 5
Surface marl deposits	none X	1-3 deposits	4 or more deposits
Wetland pH	low <4.2 0	moderate 4.2-5.7	high >5.7 15 X

Sum the scores for each of the above categories, maximum score 100 points.

SCORE: Sum of above (maximum 100 points) = 55

(score is cumulative; no maximum score)

4.0 SPECIAL FEATURES COMPONENT

110	
4.1 RARITY	
4.1.1 WETLANDS	
Hills Site Region: 3E Hills Site District: 3	
Wetland class (check one or mode) 1) bog 2) fen 3) X swamp 4) X marsh	ore)
SCORE (SEE TABLE (APPROPRIATE ROW)	ON NEXT PAGE, ADD ALL SCORES FROM THE 40
4.1.2 SPECIES	
4.1.2.1 BREEDING HABITAT FO	OR AN ENDANGERED SPECIES
Name of species 1)	Source of information
2)	
3)	
Attach documentation	
Scoring For one species For each additional species	250 points 250

BREEDING HABITAT FOR ENDANGERED SPECIES SCORE _____0

17-14		No. of Points			
Unit No.	Hill's Site Region & District	Marsh	Swamp	Fen	Bog
0	Arctic	20	30	0	30
1E	Subarctic Forest	20	30	0	20
2E	James Bay	20	20	0	20
2B	Big Trout Lake	20	20	0	10
3E	Lake Abitibi	20	20	10	0
3W	Lake Nipigon	20	20	10	0
3\$	Lake St. Joseph	20	20	10	0
4E	Lake Temagami	20	20	10	0
4W	Pigeon River	20	10	20	0
48	Wabigoon Lake	20	10	20	0
5-1	Thessalon	10	0	30	20
_5-2	Gore Bay	20	0	20	20
5-3	La Cloche	20	0	30	20
5-4	Sudbury	10	0	30	10
5-5	North Bay	10	0	20	0
5-6	Tomiko	10	0	20	0
5-7	Parry Sound	20	0	30	20
5-8	Huntsville	20	0	30	20
5-9	Algonquin Park	10	0	30	0
5-10	Brent	20	0	30	0
5-11	Bancroft	0	10	30	10
5-12	Renfrew	0	0	30	10
5-S	Lake of the Woods	10	10	20	10

Notes to the Evaluation table:

Scoring on class representation is as follows:

- 30 = area of that class accounts for less than 10% of the total wetland area of that site region or district.
- 20 = area of that class accounts for between 10 and 30% of the total wetland area in that site region or district.
- 10 = area of that class accounts for between 30 and 60 % of the total wetland area in that site region or district.
- 0 = area of that class accounts for more than 50% of the total wetland area of that site region or district.

	TION OR FEEDING HABITAT FOR AN ENDANGERED
<u>SPECIES</u>	
Name of species	Source of information
1)	
2)	
3)	
Attach documentation	
Scoring	
For one species For each additional species	150 points 75
(score is cumulative; no maximum	score)
TRADITIONAL FEEDING HABI	TAT FOR ENDANGERED SPECIES SCORE0
4.1.2.3 PROVINCIALLY SIGNIF	ICANT SPECIES OF FAUNA
Name of species	Source of information

Name of species	Source of information
1) Greater Scaup	John Boos - Ducks Unlimited
2) American Coot	<u>John Boos - Ducks</u> Unlimited
3)	<u>Unit 5, 33 Iroquo</u> is Rd.
4)	Timmins
5)	(705) 264- 4218
6)	
7)	
8)	
9)	
10)	

Attach separate list if necessary; Attach documentation

Scoring:

Number of provincially significant species of fauna in wetland: Score

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
One species	=	50
2 species	=	80 >
3 species	=	95
4 species	=	103
5 species	=	111
6 species	=	116
7 species	=	121
8 species	=	126
9 species	=	131
10 species	=	134
11 species	=	137
12 species	=	140
13 species	=	143
14 species	=	146
15 species	=	149
16 species	=	151
17 species	=	153
18 species	=	155
19 species	=	157
20 species	=	159
21 species	=	161
22 species	=	163
23 species	=	165
24 species	=	167
25 species	=	169

Add one point for every species past 25 (for example, 26 species = 170 pts, 27 species = 171 pts etc...) (no maximum score)

SCORE FOR PROVINCIALLY SIGNIFICANT FAUNA 80

4.1.2.4 PROVINCIALLY SIGNIFICANT SPECIES OF FLORA

Name of species	Source of information
 key not available 	
2)	
3)	
4)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5)	
6)	

7)	<u> </u>
8)	
9)	
10)	

Attach separate list if necessary; Attach documentation

Scoring:

Number of provincially significant species of fauna in wetland: Score

One species	=	50
2 species	=	80
3 species	=	95
4 species	=	103
5 species	=	111
6 species	=	116
7 species	=	121
8 species	=	126
9 species	=	131
10 species	=	134
11 species	=	137
12 species	=	140
13 species	=	143
14 species	=	146
15 species	=	149
16 species	=	151
17 species	=	153
18 species	=	155
19 species	=	157
20 species	=	159
21 species	=	161
22 species	=	163
23 species	=	165
24 species	=	167
25 species	=	169
-		

Add one point for every species past 25 (for example, 26 species = 170 pts, 27 species = 171 pts etc...) (No maximum score)

SCORE FOR PROVINCIALLY SIGNIFICANT FLORA 0

4.1.2.5 REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT SPECIES

SIGNIFICANT IN SITE REGION:

Name of	species		Source	of info	ormation						•	
1) Doubl	e-creste	<u>d C</u> ormor	an <u>t</u>	Field	checks	+	John	Boos	- [Oucks	Unlimi	ted
2) Canad	la Goose											
3) Sora				_								
4)												
	· 											
7)		<u>-</u>										
Attach separa			Attach	docume	ntation							
coring			•									
Number of s pecies at Sit evel in wetla	e Region	Score										
One species	= .	20										
species	=	30										
species species species species species	=		(
species	=	50	•									
species	=	60										
species	=	65										
species	=	70										
species	=	75									•	
species	=	80										
0 species	=	85										
Add one poir	nt for ever	y species	past 10.	(No ma	ximum s	co	re)					
	S	CORE FO	OR SIGNI	FICAN	T SPEC	IE:	S (SIT	E REC	GIO	۷)	40	

4.2.1.6 SIGNIFICANT IN SITE DISTRICT

Name of species	Source of information
1)	
2)	
3)	
4)	
5)	
6)	
7)	
8)	
9)	
10)	

Attach separate list if necessary; Attach documentation

Scoring:

Number of significant species at Site District level in wetland Score

One species	=	10
2 species	=	17
3 species	=	24
4 species	=	31
5 species	=	38
6 species	=	41
7 species	=	44
8 species	=	47
9 species	=	50
10 species	=	53
_		

For each significant species over 10 in the wetland, add 1 point. (No maximum score)

SCORE FOR SIGNIFICANT SPECIES (SITE DISTRICT) _____

4.1.2.6 SPECIES OF SPECIAL STATUS

Black Duck

Suitable breeding habitat present and within assessment range (Table
--

40 - 80 IP/100 km sq		25 points
20 - 40 IP/100 km sq		20
10 - 20 IP/100 km sq	X	15
5 - 10 IP/100 km sq		10
1 - 5 IP/100 km sq		5

SCORE FOR BLACK DUCK

15

4.2 SIGNIFICANT FEATURES AND/OR FISH & WILDLIFE HABITAT

4.2.1 NESTING OF COLONIAL WATERBIRDS

check one	status	species	source of information	score
1)	currently nesting			50 points
2)	known to have nested within past 5 years	·		25 points
3)	active feeding area (great blue heron excluded)			15 points X
4)	none known			0 points

SCORE FOR COLONIAL WATERBIRDS _____15

4.2.2. WINTER COVER FOR WILDLIFE

(Check only highest level of significan	ce)	Score (one only)
i)	provincially significant	100 points
2)	regionally significant (Site Region)	50
3)	regionally significant (Site District)	25
3) X	locally significant	15
4)	little or poor winter cover present	0

Source of information: Milan Vukelich, District Biologist MNR - Timmins District (705) 267-7951

4.2.3 WATERFOWL STAGING AND/OR MOULTING

(Circle only highest level of significance for both staging and moulting)

Level of Significance	Staging	Moulting	
National Significance	150 points	150	
Provincial Significance	100	100	
Regional Significance (Site Region)	50 x	50	
Known to occur	15	15 x	
Not possible	0	0	

Source of information: John Boos - Ducks Unlimited

SCORE FOR WATERFOWL MOULTING AND STAGING (Maximum 300) 65

4.2.4 WATERFOWL BREEDING

(Check only highest level of significance)	Score
1) Provincial significance 2) Regional significance (Site Region) 3) X Habitat suitable 4) Habitat not suitable 5) Status unknown	100 points 50 15 0
Source of information: John Boos - Ducks Unlimit	ed ·
SCORE FOR WATERFOWL BREEDING	(Maximum 100)15

4.2.5 MIGRATORY PASSERINE, SHOREBIRD OR RAPTOR STOPOVER AREA

(check highest applicable category)

1)	Provincial significance	100 points
2)	Regional significance (Site Region)	50
3) <u>x</u>	Generalized significance	0

Source of information: John Boos - Ducks Unlimited

SCORE FOR PASSERINE, SHOREBIRD OR RAPTOR STOPOVER (Maximum 100) =

0

4.2.6 FISH HABITAT

i)	Bottom Type (Portion of the wetland that contains fish habitat only)
•	(estimate % of fish habitat substrate consisting of sand, gravel, and/or rubble)

10 percent

Scoring:

% cover of sand, gravel or rubble		<u>Score</u>	
	> 60%	10 points	
	51-61%	8	
	41-50%	6	
	31-40%	· 4	
	21-30%	2	
x	< 20%	0	

BOTTOM TYPE SCORE (maximum 10 points) = _____0

iv) Presence of Key Vegetation Groups:

check all vegetation groups below that are represented in the vegetation community descriptions (ie up to two dominant species for each vegetation form) for those areas of the wetland that contain fisheries habitat:

Group Numb		Group Represented by a Dominant Species	Score
1	Tallgrass	x	7 points
2	Shortgrass-Sedge	<u> </u>	14
2	Cattail-Burreed	X	5
<i>J</i>	Arrowhead-Pickerelweed		6
5	Duckweed		i
2	Smart weed - Water willow	- 	4
7			12
,	Waterlily-Lotus	<u>~~</u>	8
8	Waterweed-Watercres		
9	Ribbongrass	X	12
10	Coontail-Naiad-Watermilfoil	<u> </u>	16
11	Narrowleas Pondweed		5
12	Broadleaf Pondweed	<u>X</u>	10

VEGETATION TYPE SCORE (maximum 100 points) = 87

Northern Ontario Wetland Evaluation System August 1992 Draft

4 7	1 2	PR	ESEN	CE	OF	FISH

THE PROPERTY OF TAXABLE	present	score
Walleye	x	10 points
Muskellunge	<u></u>	10
Northern Pike	<u></u>	10
Bass - SM		10
- LM		10
Yellow Perch	X	10
Bluegill, Pumpkinseed,		1
Black Crappie or Rockbass		10
Salmonids		10
Forage Fish	×	10
Any other fish (list below)	X	10

Attach sources (by species) PRESENCE OF FISH SCORE (max. 100 pts) = 50

Other fish species:
Other rish species:
White sucker
·
·

5.0 EXTRA INFORMATION

5.1 PURPLE LOOSESTRIFE

x Absent/No	ot seen			
Present	(a)		location in wetland to many locations	
	(b)	(1) (2) (3)	ndance code < 20 plants 20-99 plants 100-999 plants >1000 plants	
5.2 SEASONALLY	FLOODED	ARE	EAS	
Indicate length of	seasonal floo	ding		
check one or more	1			
No seasonal fl Ephemeral Temporal Seasonal Semi-permane	(les (2 (1	week	n 2 weeks) s to 1 month) months) aths)	
5.3 SPECIES OF	SPECIAL SIG	NIF	CANCE	
<u>5.3.1</u> Osprey		,	•	
	Present and n Known to hav Feeding area not as above	ve ne	g (attach map show sted in last 5 yrs. Osprey	ing nest site)
5.3.2 Common 1	<u>.000</u>			
	Feeding at ed	ige of	l (attach map show f wetland on lake or river ac	ing nest site)

INVESTIGATORS	<u>AFFILIATION</u>
Barb Riordan	Mattagami Region Conservation Authority
Leanne Beaudin	
Ian Currie	
DATES WETLAND VISI	<u>red</u>
Aug. 6-21 and Sept.	15, 1992
DATE THIS EVALUATION	ON COMPLETED: October 16, 1992
ESTIMATED TIME DEV	VOTED TO COMPLETING THE FIELD SURVEY IN PERSON
80 hours / person	
WEATHER CONDITION	<u>s</u>
i) at time of field work ii) summer conditions in	cold, cloudy, drizzle. 3 days of warm, sunny conditions general cold, cloudy
OTHER POTENTIALLY	USEFUL INFORMATION:

SPECIES LIST ABUNDANCE AND LOCATION

1

Name of Species

Notes on Abundance and Location

by beaver, many beaver

extensive use of wetland

houses, dams and trails 1 set of tracks near M8

Mammals

deer (<u>Odocoileus virginianus</u>) beaver (<u>Castor canadensis</u>)

Birds

common merganser (<u>Mergus merganser</u>) blue-winged teal (<u>Anas discors</u>) ruddy duck (Oxyura jamaicensis) (Phalacrocorax auritus) mallard (<u>Anas platyrhynchos</u>) double-crested cormorant sora (<u>Porzana carolina</u>) American bittern

belted kingfisher (<u>Megaceryle alcyon</u>) (Botaurus lentiginosus) great blue heron (<u>Ardea herodias</u>) herring gulls (<u>Larus argentatus</u>) marsh hawk (<u>Circus cyaneus</u>) common loon (<u>Gavia immer</u>)

seen on Porcupine Lake in September 2 adults/1 imm. in W1 in August; 5

2 in W1

several sightings throughout wetland several families throughout wetland 1 female in M8

1 in M8

in W1 near Hwy. 101 bridge

daily sightings of 1 or 2 throughout wetland several sightings in W1 and M8 4 on Porcupine Lake sightings in W1

several on Porcupine Lake

VEGETATION

Trees

trembling aspen (Populus tremuloides) balsam poplar (<u>Populus balsamifera</u>) white birch (<u>Betula papyrifera</u>) black spruce (<u>Picea mariana)</u> balsam fir (<u>Abies balsamea</u>) tamarack (<u>Larix laricina</u>)

dominant tree species in S1 and S7 (saplings) 53 dominant tree species in S4 and subdominant in S7 (saplings) subdominant in 54 and S3 subdominant in S4 subdominant in S7

Tall Shrubs

1

speckled alder (<u>Alnus rugosa</u>) red osier dogwood

(<u>Cornus stolonifera)</u> willow (<u>Salix</u> sp.)

LOW Shrubs

leatherleaf (Chamaedaphne <u>calyculata</u>) Labrador tea (<u>Ledum groenlandicum</u>) wild raspberry (<u>Rubus strigosus</u>)

currant (<u>Ribes</u> sp.)

Ground Cover

tall meadow rue (Thalictrum polygamum) yellow clintonia (Clintonia borealis) sp. - diff. species) marsh fern (<u>Thelypteris palustris</u>) lady fern (<u>Athyrium Filix-femina</u>) snowberry (<u>Gaultheria hispidula)</u> (Fragaria <u>virginiana</u>) jewelweed (<u>Impatiens capensis</u>) twin flower (<u>Linnaea borealis</u>) bunchberry (<u>Cornus canadensis</u>) wild mint (Mentha arvensis) common skullcap violets (Viola strawberry

(Scutellaria epilobiifolia) (Potentilla palustris) Joe-Pye weed (Eupatorium dubium marsh cinquefoil

Canada anemone (<u>Anemone canadensis</u>) fireweed (Epilobium angustifolium)

Porcupine dominant tall shrub species in swamps abundant along perimeter of marshes along shoreline of abundant in S7

River

dominant low shrub species in S2, present in most dominant low shrub species in S4, present in S2 several different species present in swamps subdominant in S4 and S2 Swamps

present in S3, S4 and S5

abundant throughout wetland

present in S9 present in M5 present in M6

present in S7

Narrow-leaved Emergents

(

sedges (<u>Carex</u> sp.) sedges (<u>Cyperus</u> sp.) reed canarygrass

(<u>Phalaris arundinacea)</u> Canada bluejoint

(Calamagrostis canadensis)

grasses (several different sp.) burreed (<u>Sparganium</u> sp.)

Robust Emergents

cattails (<u>Typha</u> sp.) bulrush (<u>Scirpus</u> sp.)

Broad-leaved Emergents

water arum (<u>Calla palustris</u>)
arrowhead (<u>Sagittaria sp.)</u>
tick trefoil (<u>Bidens cernua</u>)
mild water pepper
(<u>Polygonum hydropiperoides</u>)

Floating

bullhead lily (<u>Nuphar variegatum</u>) floating-leaf pondweed (<u>Potamogeton natans)</u> smartweed (<u>Polygonum</u> sp.)

Submerqed

coontail (Ceratophyllum demersum)
muskgrass (Chara sp.)
bladderwort (Utricularia sp.)
moss (Drepanocladus)

dominant form and species in S1, S2, S10 and S11 subdominant species abundant throughout wetland, dominant narrow-leaved species in M6, S6, S5, M4, M10 subdominant in S6, M6, S10

subdominant in S6, M6, S10
dominant narrow-leaved species in S4, subdominant in S3
subdominant in S8, S1, S3 and S9
dominant narrow-leaved species in M8

abundant in marshes, dominant species in S12 dominant robust species in W7, subdominant in M8

dominant broad-leaved species in M6 subdominant in M6, present in M6 present in M6 dominant broad-leaved species in M3

. dominant floating species in W11 and M8

present in small quantities in marshes

abundant in marshes dominant submerged species in M9 subdominant in M9

white water buttercup

(Ranunculus aquatilus

water milfoil (<u>Myriophyllum</u> sp.) mare's tail (<u>Hippuris vulgaris</u>)

tapegrass (<u>Vallisneria</u> sp.) mild water pepper

(Polygonum hydropiperoides)

waterweed (<u>Anacharis</u> sp.) stargrass (<u>Heteranthera dubia</u>)

stargrass (<u>neteranu</u> redhead grass

(<u>Potamogeton richardsonii)</u> naiad (<u>Najas flexilis</u>)

sago pondweed (<u>Potamogeton pectinatus</u>) slender pondweed

(Potamodeton pusillus)

threadleaf pondweed (<u>Potamogeton filiformis</u>) horned pondweed (<u>Zannichellia palustris</u>)

big sheath pondweed (<u>Potamogeton vaginatus</u>)

Free Floating

duckweed (Lemna sp.)

present in M2 near Hwy. 101 bridge

dominant submerged species in W11 at air base present in M8 on South Porcupine River

present in tributaries of Porcupine and South Porcupine Rivers which flow into Porcupine Lake

subdominant in M8 in Porcupine Lake

subdominant in Porcupine Lake marshes

abundant in some marshes

present in some marshes

dominant submerged species in W7

dominant form in W1, M2, M4, M5

APPENDIX II: SCORING SUMMARY

(

SCORING SUMMARY

1.0	BIOLOGIC	CAL COMPONENT		
1.1	Producti	vity		
	1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3	Growing Degree Days/Soils Wetland Class Site Type	8.0 12.2 3.7	
	Tot	al for Productivity		23.9
1.2	Biodiver	sity		
	1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4 1.2.5 1.2.6	<pre># Wetland Classes Vegetation Communities Div. Surrounding Habitat Proximity to other Wetlands Interspersion Open Water Type</pre>	13.0 24.5 6.0 8.0 18.0 30.0	
	Tot	tal for Biodiversity		99.5
1.3	Ecosyst	em Age		1.8
1.4	Size			40.0
		CORE BIOLOGICAL COMPONENT n 250 points)	165.2	

2.0	SOCIAL CO	MPONENT		
2.1	Economica	lly Valuable Products		
	2.1.1 2.1.2 2.1.3 2.1.4 2.1.5	Wood Products Low Bush Cranberry Wild Rice Commercial Baitfish Furbearers	8 0 0 12 12	
	Tota	l Economically Valuable Products		
2.2	Recreatio	nal Activities		45
2.3	Landscape	Aesthetics		
	2.3.1 2.3.2	Distinctness Absence of Human Disturbance	<u>- 3</u>	
	Tota	l for Landscape Aesthetics		5
2.4	Education	and Public Awareness		
	2.4.1 2.4.2 2.4.3	Educational Uses Facilities and Programs Research and Studies		
	Tota	l for Education/Public Awareness		_25
2.5	Proximity	to Areas of Human Settlement		_26
2.6	Ownership	·		5.1
2.7	Size			20
2.8	Aborigina	l Values and Cultural Heritage		
	2.8.1 2.8.2	Aboriginal Values Cultural Heritage	00	
	Tota	l for Aboriginal Values/Cultural	Heritage	0
		RE FOR SOCIAL COMPONENT = 158.1		

(maximum 250 points)

3.0	HYDROLOGICAL COMPONENT	
3.1	Flood Attenuation	35.3
3.2	Ground Water Recharge	
	3.2.1 Site Type 3.2.2 Soils	4.03 5.0
	Total for Groundwater Recharge	9.03
3.3	Downstream Water Quality Improvement	
	3.3.1 Watershed Improvement Factor 3.3.2 Adjacent and Watershed Land Use 3.3.3 Vegetation Form	26.2 34.0 7.5
	Total for Downstream WQI	_67.7_
3.4	Carbon Sink	2.0
3.5	Shoreline Erosion Control	15.0
3.6	Groundwater Discharge	55

TOTAL SCORE HYDROLOGICAL COMPONENT = 184 (maximum 250 points)

TOTAL SCORE SPECIAL FEATURES COMPONENT =

(maximum score 250 points)

250

SCORING SUMMARY

1.0	BIOLOGICAL COMPONENT	165.2
2.0	SOCIAL COMPONENT	158.1
3.0	HYDROLOGICAL COMPONENT	184.0
4.0	SPECIAL FEATURES COMPONENT	250
	TOTAL SCORE (maximum score 1000 points)	757.3
	WETLAND CLASS 1	

APPENDIX III

LIST OF FIELD GUIDES AND MANUALS USED IN WETLAND EVALUATION

- Bull, John and John Farrand Jr. The Audubon Society Field Guide to American Birds. Alfred A. Knopf (New York: 1977).
- Cadman, M.D., P.F.J. Eagles and F.M. Helleiner, <u>Atlas of the Breeding Birds of Ontario</u>. University of Waterloo Press (Waterloo: 1987).
- Fessett, Norman C. <u>A Manual of Aquatic Plants</u>. University of Wisconsin Press (Madison: 1972).
- Jones, Keith A. <u>Field Guide to Forest Ecosystem Classification for the Claybelt Site Region 3</u>. Ministry of Natural Resources: 1983.
- Ministry of Natural Resources, <u>Northern Ontario Wetland</u>
 <u>Evaluation</u>. (Draft: August 1992).
- Peterson, Roger Tory, <u>A Field Guide to the Birds</u>. Houghton Mifflin Company (Boston: 1980).
- Peterson, Roger Tory and Margaret McKenny, <u>A Field Guide to Wildflowers</u>. Houghton Mifflin Company (Boston: 1968).
- Soper, James H. <u>Shrubs of Ontario</u>. The Royal Ontario Museum (Toronto: 1982).